



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/927,382	09/12/97	COSS	M 1-1-1

JOSEPH B RYAN
RYAN AND MASON, L.L.P.
90 FOREST AVENUE
LOCUST VALLEY NY 11560

LM02/0818

EXAMINER

CROCKETT, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2787

DATE MAILED:

08/18/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/927,382

Applicant(s)

Coss et al.

Examiner

Robert Crockett

Group Art Unit

2787



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 12, 1997

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 5

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

PART III. DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shwed (US 5606668).

As per claims 1-7, 17-21, and 22-26, Shwed (US-5606668) describes a security system for a computer network that implements packet filtering (column 3, lines 59-65). Shwed teaches that his system applies a particular security rule to an incoming packet (column 7, lines 14-24) based on data extracted from the incoming packet (column 8 lines 39-49 and Fig 8).

As per claim 1, Shwed does not explicitly teach that his system derives a session key for the incoming packet. However, processing the extracted packet data in the Shwed invention (column 8, line 39 to column 9, line 63) would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, as an obvious equivalent to deriving a session key for the incoming packet, because a session key indicates which security rule to use for a particular packet. Shwed further teaches that a specific TCP destination port may be among the data extracted from the incoming packet (columns 9, line 64 to column 10, line 14). Shwed further teaches that his system is implemented using gateways having multiple network interfaces (Fig 2), where the gateway is connected through a router to the Internet.

As per claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 19, 21, 24, and 26, Shwed does not explicitly teach that his invention processes all types of Internet protocol packets, such as UDP packets, or all useful packet data, such as IP addresses. However, the Internet was well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize layered communication protocols, including UDP in addition to TCP, and it was also well-known to those skilled in the art that methods used to extract data from the headers of TCP packets could be utilized to extract data from UDP packets as well, and that these methods could have been utilized to extract many types of packet header information, including source address, destination address, next-level protocol, source port, and destination port data. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, to program the Shwed invention to process all types of Internet protocol packets and to extract all useful packet header data to assist in security rule decision making, because

this would have been easy to accomplish within the Shwed system and would enable the Shwed system to meet a wide range of user security requirements.

As per claims 6, 7, 18, 20, 23, and 25, Shwed teaches that his system is implemented using gateways having multiple network interfaces (Fig 2), where the gateway is connected through a router to the Internet. Gateways were well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to allow packets to be routed to different network interfaces based on well-known routing algorithms, and that these routing algorithms could be simply and favorably utilized in conjunction with network security algorithms like those taught by Shwed (column 8 lines 39-49 and Fig 8).

As per claims 8-11, 12-15, and 16, Shwed (US 5606668) describes a security system for a computer network that implements packet filtering (column 3, lines 59-65). Shwed teaches that his system applies a particular security rule to an incoming packet (column 7, lines 14-24) based on data extracted from the incoming packet (column 8 lines 39-49 and Fig 8).

As per claims 8, 9, 10, 13, and 14, Shwed does not explicitly teach the use of multiple independent security policies, administered by separate administrators and applied to different groups. However, Shwed further teaches (column 4, lines 27-67) that a system administrator may create security rules, and may designate that network objects be separated into sub-groups or domains, where sub-groups may utilize different sets of security rules (column 4, lines 23-26 and lines 50-57) which would implement multiple sets

of security policies. (Shwed uses as an example a communication group composed of a company's CEO, CFO, directors; security rules could be set up in the Shwed system to allow direct communication by this group, but not others, to a finance group (column 4, lines 59-63).) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to allow the creation of specific security rules for a particular sub-group of network objects, because this could be accomplished with little modification to the Shwed system, and because the creation of independent security policies by the creation of multiple sets of rules would give users of the Shwed system the benefits of hierarchies of security.

As per claims 11, 15, and 16, although Shwed does not explicitly teach that only the administrator of a domain is allowed to modify the security policy rules for that domain, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to restrict the creation of security rules for a particular sub-group of network objects to a particular system administrator, because this could be accomplished with little, if any, modification to the Shwed system, and because the creation of rules by a specialist in a particular domain would give the benefits of increased security and confidence in the Shwed system.

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9731, (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive VA
sixth Floor (Receptionist)


The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Crockett whose telephone number is (703) 305-6107. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Palys, can be reached at (703) 305-9685. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9618.

Robert G. Crockett


Joseph E. Palys
Primary Examiner
8/10/99